UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
X
CALGON CARBON CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 08 CIV 4407 (CM)

-against-

FIRST AMENDED ANSWER

WDF, INC. and SEABOARD SURETY COMPANY,

Defendants

----X

Defendants, WDF, INC. and SEABOARD SURETY COMPANY, by their attorneys, the Law Offices of William J. Turkish, PLLC, and for their First Amended Answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint, states as follows:

- Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief with respect to each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "1" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 2. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "7" of the Plaintiff's Complaint, except admits that WDF subcontracted with Connor Management Group ("Connor") to provide certain equipment and services in connection with the prime contract and that thereafter, Connor assigned all of its rights and obligations in connection with the Project to WDF.
- 3. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "8", except admits that Calgon entered into a subcontract with Hartzell Fans, Inc. ("Hartzell") for the supply of the 12 scrubber exhaust fans to be incorporated into the project pursuant to certain contract specifications.
- 4. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "9" of the Plaintiff's Complaint, except admits that the Plaintiff resolved the concerns of the City of New York Department of Environmental Protection ("NYDEP") more than 12 years after the determination by the Defendant and the

NYDEP that the Plaintiff and Hartzell installed fans that were not constructed with the materials required by the contract specifications which resulted in their failure to perform in accordance with the requirements of the specifications.

- 5. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "10" of the Plaintiff's Complaint, except admits that Calgon has demanded payment by WDF of amounts related to the supply and installation of the fans.
- 6. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief with respect to each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "12" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 7. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "13" of the Plaintiff's Complaint and respectfully refers this Court to the terms and conditions of the contract referred to therein and applicable New York law.
- 8. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "14", "15" and "16" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 9. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "17" of the Plaintiff's Complaint and respectfully refers this Court to the contract referred to therein for its terms and conditions and applicable New York law.
- 10. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "19" of the Plaintiff's Complaint, except admits that the Defendant, Seaboard Surety Company, issued a Payment and Performance Bond No. 20089690 in connection with the subject project.
- 11. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "20" and "21" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. Statute of Limitations.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. Plaintiff fails to state a cause of action as against the Defendant, Seaboard Surety Company, in that Plaintiff did not commence its action within the time limits set forth in the subject payment and Performance Bond.

AS AND FOR A COUNTERCLAIM BY DEFENDANT, WDF, INC.

- 14. That on or about April 11, 1990, WDF, Inc. (by its predecessor), was awarded Public Works Contract No. 51G by the Department of Environmental Protection of the City of New York to furnish and install certain processing equipment as required by the Contract's Plans and Specifications at several water pollution plants located in the City and State of New York (hereinafter the "Project").
- 15. That on or about July 23, 1990, WDF, Inc. (by its predecessor), entered into a subcontract with Connor Management Group, Inc. (hereinafter "Connors") for a portion of WDF's contract work at the project.
- 16. Thereafter, Connors entered into a Purchase Order with the Plaintiff, Calgon Carbon Corporation, pursuant to which the Plaintiff agreed to furnish certain material and equipment required in connection with the project (hereinafter the "Purchase Order").
- 17. That prior thereto, on March 22, 1990, WDF, Inc. (by its predecessor) and the Plaintiff, Calgon Carbon Corporation, entered into a written agreement relating to the work to be performed by Calgon Carbon Corporation in connection with the Project.
- 18. The Purchase Order provided, amongst other things, that the Plaintiff would furnish twelve (12) scrubber exhaust fans (hereinafter

the "Fans") at the project in accordance with the contract specifications.

- 19. Amongst other things, the contract specifications required that the fan blades and shafts be constructed of a 100% fiberglass material and that the fans would purify and remove foul, noxious and contaminated air in such a manner as more specifically defined in the contract specifications and pursuant to certain agreed upon industry standards.
- 20. Thereafter, Plaintiff entered into a Contract with Hartzell Fans, Inc. (hereinafter "Hartzell") for the supply of the previously identified fans.
- 21. Thereafter, and on or before June 30, 1992, Plaintiff installed the fans provided by Hartzell into the project and the NYDEP issued a Certificate of Substantial Completion and awarded WDF a \$705,000.00 bonus for timely completion of the project.
- 22. Thereafter, upon information and belief, the NYDEP determined that the performance by WDF was complete and final. However, prior to issuing the final payment to WDF of its performance bonus, the NYDEP notified WDF that there were problems with the fans in that they did not perform in accordance with the requirements of the contract specifications and did not meet the agreed upon and required performance standards.
- 23. It was thereafter determined by the NYDEP that the fans installed by Calgon were not constructed of the material required by the contract specifications and the Purchase Order.
- 27. That as a direct result of the breach by the Plaintiff of the March 22, 1990 agreement, the Purchase Order and the contract specifications for the Project, on or before March 15, 1994, the NYDEP rejected the fans and refused to issue payment to the Defendant of the

\$705,000.00 performance bonus and withheld additional sums in connection with the supply and installation of the defective and/or non-conforming fans.

- 28. From on or about March 15, 1994, and over the course of approximately the next ten (10) years, the Plaintiff failed and/or refused to take timely action as necessary to perform the corrective work required to remedy the defective and/or non-conforming fans.
- 29. That thereafter, the Plaintiff finally took the appropriate action by repairing and/or replacing all of the defective and/or non-conforming fans and in or about September, 2004, the NYDEP accepted the remedial work performed by the Plaintiff and authorized the release of the Defendant's \$705,000.00 performance bonus and whatever additional amounts were withheld in connection with the defective or non-conforming fans.
- 30. That on or about April 18, 2007 the NYDEP issued payment to Defendant of its \$705,000.00 performance bonus and certain additional amounts which included amounts withheld in connection with the defective or non-conforming fans.
- 31. That as a result of the substantial delays caused by the Plaintiff in failing and/or refusing to timely remedy the defective or non-confirming fans for a period of approximately fifteen (15) years, the Defendant has suffered damages for breach of contract and delays relating to the Defendant having been deprived of the \$705,000.00 performance bonus which was to have been paid on or about June 30, 1992 following completion of the project, in an amount to be determined by the Court but in no event will said amount be less than SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND(\$750,000.00) DOLLARS.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, WDF, Inc. and Seaboard Surety Company, demand judgment dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint and further demand judgment in favor of WDF, Inc. on its Counterclaim in an amount to be

determined by the Court, together with any other relief this Court deems just, proper and equitable in the circumstances.

Dated:

Jericho, New York August 25, 2008

Yours, etc.,

LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM J. TURKISH, PLLC

By: WHLLIAM J. TURKISH, ESQ. Attorney for Defendants 33 South Service Road

Jericho, NY 11753 Our File No. 6173.1

(516) 338-0585

FAX: (516) 338-0685

To: KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022 (212) 536-3900

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS, LLP 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222

UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF I					
CALGON CARBON CORPORA	**				
	Plaintiff,	Case No	. 08	CIV 4407	(CM)
-against-		7 E E T 1 7	37TM (OF SERVIC	c
WDF, INC. and SEABOARD SURETY COMPANY,					
	Defendants				
	X				
STATE OF NEW YORK)				
COUNTY OF NASSAU) ss:)				
		1		T	

EILEEN J. FUCHS, being duly sworn deposes and says: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in Long Beach, New York.

On August 25, 2008, I served a true copy of the annexed **FIRST AMENDED ANSWER** in the above matter, in the following manner: by mailing the same in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post-office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the State of New York, addressed to the last known address of the addressee as indicated below:

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS, LLP 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222

EILEEN J. FUCHS

Sworn to before me this 25^{th} day of August, 2008

Notary Public

WILLIAM TURKISH NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York No. 02TU4801151

Qualified in Nassau County 200 Commission Expires Nov. 30,